

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,421	07/30/2003	Oskar Rapp	331.1047	7837
23280	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			PATEL, VISHAL A	
485 SEVENTI NEW YORK,	HAVENUE, 14TH FLOO NY 10018	OR .	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3676	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	P			
. ()	10/630,421	RAPP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishal Patel	3676	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	PSS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commodities (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	: Action or form PTO-	·152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	52)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

. 1

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8, 11, 13, 15-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero et al (US. 5,186,472) in view of Hintenlang (US. 6,062,571) and in further view of Guth et al (US. 6,336,638).

Romero discloses a lip seal for sealing a gap between housing and a shaft (column 1, lines 5-10 or shaft is an inner casing 12). The lip seal having a support body (14), the support body having an annular part (36) radially to the shaft and a cylindrical part (part connected to static seal 18) running axially of the shaft and the annular part having two opposing sides (two sides of 36). The lip seal having a dynamic sealing element attached to the annular part and enclosing the annular part on the two opposing sides. The lip seal having a static sealing element (18) attached to the cylindrical part at a radial distance from the dynamic sealing element. The support body is made from a rigid material (support body is made from a rigid material). The rigid material is metal (14). There exist a distance between the static seal element and the dynamic sealing element. The static and dynamic sealing elements are connected to the supporting body by vulcanization using a coupling agent (process limitation is given little patentable weight in an apparatus claim). The static and dynamic sealing elements are made of material.

Art Unit: 3676

The dynamic sealing element receives a lip shape during sliding of the seal onto the shaft (lip 48 or 42). The dynamic sealing element has a lip enclosing the shaft (either of lips 48 or 42) and the lip having a lip surface facing the shaft. The dynamic sealing element has a lip edge with a barrier feature (end of lips 48 or 42). The dynamic sealing element has a lip curved towards an environment or towards a sealed-off space (curved portion of lip 42 or 48).

The static sealing element has an outside surface that is corrugated (corrugations on outside surface of 18).

Method of manufacturing having the steps of fastening the dynamic sealing element to the annular part and enclosing the annular part on the two opposing sides at a fastening point (fastening point is the end of 36 where 40 starts) and positioning the static sealing element on the cylindrical part at a radial distance from the dynamic sealing element.

Method of sealing having the steps of contacting the housing with the static sealing element (tight seal provided by the static sealing element with a housing, column 3, lines 55-59) and contacting the shaft with the dynamic sealing element (contacting inner casing).

Romero discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the static sealing element and the dynamic sealing element are made of different material. Hintenlang teaches that a lip seal having a dynamic sealing element (1) and a static sealing element (2), where the dynamic sealing element and the static element are made of different material or same material (column 1, line 63 to column 2, line 6) and since the material is different the color of the material will be different. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the material of the static and the dynamic sealing elements of Romero to be made from different material as taught by

Art Unit: 3676

Hintenlang, since choosing a particular material for static and dynamic sealing elements is advantageous in that it allows for the optimization of the choice of material for each seal in dependence upon the specific application at hand (column 1, lines 63-67 of Hintenlang).

Romero and Hintenlang disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the second material has a higher thermal stability than the first material. Guth discloses a static seal made of a first material (elastomeric material) and a dynamic seal made of a second material (the second material that has a higher thermal stability than the first material because the second material is PTFE). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the dynamic seal of Romero and Hintenlang to be formed of PTFE as taught by Guth to provide a dynamic that is almost free of wear (column 2, lines 13-18 of Guth). Furthermore the static seal element and the dynamic seal element will have different color since one is made of elastomer and the other is made of fluoropolymer.

Regarding claim 5: Romero, Hintenlang and Guth discloses the claimed invention except that the radial distance between the static sealing element and the dynamic sealing element is at least 0.5mm. Discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Without the showing of some unexpected result. Since applicant has not shown some unexpected result the inclusion of this limitation is considered to be a matter of choice in design. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the radial distance between the static sealing element and the dynamic sealing element to be at least 0.5mm as a matter of design choice.

3. Claims 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero, Hintenlang and Guth as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Peisket et al (US. 4,501,431).

Romero and Hintenlang disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the lip is provided with opening on the lip surface for return delivery of a medium to be sealed-off, the openings have a screw-shaped openings, the openings are single-threaded or multiple-threaded. Peisket discloses a lip seal having openings to return delivery of a medium to be sealed off, the openings have a screw shape or single threaded or multiple-threaded (column 5, lines 10-16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the lip surface of Romero and Hintenlang to have openings as taught by Peisket, to provide return delivery of medium to be sealed-off and provide hydrodynamic pumping elements (column 5, lines 13-15 of Peisket).

4. Claim 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero (US. 4,936,591) in view of Hintenlang and in further view of Guth.

Romero'591 discloses a lip seal (10) for sealing a gap between housing and a shaft (column 1, lines 5-10 or shaft is an inner casing 12). The lip seal having a support body (14), the support body having an annular part (36) radially to the shaft and a cylindrical part (part connected to static seal 18) running axially of the shaft and the annular part having two opposing sides (two sides of 36). The lip seal having a dynamic sealing element attached to the annular part and enclosing the annular part on the two opposing sides. The lip seal having a static sealing element (18) attached to the cylindrical part at a radial distance from the dynamic sealing

Art Unit: 3676

element. The static sealing element has at least one of an end chamfer and a bottom chamfer on an outside surface (chambers above and below 32).

Romero'591 discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the static sealing element and the dynamic sealing element are made of different material. Hintenlang teaches that a lip seal having a dynamic sealing element (1) and a static sealing element (2), where the dynamic sealing element and the static element are made of different material or same material (column 1, line 63 to column 2, line 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the material of the static and the dynamic sealing elements of Romero to be made from different material as taught by Hintenlang, since choosing a particular material for static and dynamic sealing elements is advantageous in that it allows for the optimization of the choice of material for each seal in dependence upon the specific application at hand (column 1, lines 63-67 of Hintenlang).

Romero and Hintenlang disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the second material has a higher thermal stability than the first material. Guth discloses a static seal made of a first material (elastomeric material) and a dynamic seal made of a second material (the second material that has a higher thermal stability than the first material because the second material is PTFE). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the dynamic seal of Romero and Hintenlang to be formed of PTFE as taught by Guth to provide a dynamic that is almost free of wear (column 2, lines 13-18 of Guth).

Art Unit: 3676

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero, Hintenlang and Guth as applied to claim 1 in paragraph 2, and further in view of Besson et al (US. 6,401,843).

Romero, Hintenlang and Guth disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that a sensor attached to the housing and a sensor wheel or a multi-pole wheel on the shaft interacting with the sensor. Besson teaches to have a sensor (sensor 100 attached by lip to housing) attached to the housing and a sensor wheel on the shaft (sensor wheel 101). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the lip seal of Romero and Hintenlang to have a sensor and a sensor as taught by Besson to provide detection of moving parts and possibly allow its rotational speed to be measured and/or controlled (column 4, lines 41-44 of Besson).

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero, Hintenlang and Guth as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Forschirm (US. 5,886,066).

Romero, Hintenlang and Guth disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the dynamic sealing element includes waxes and paraffin. Forschirm discloses a PTFE material that includes waxes or paraffin to provide improved surface wear resistance and coefficients of friction (column 30-40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the dynamic sealing element made of the second sealing material of Romero, Hintenlang and Guth to have waxes or paraffin as taught by Forschirm, to provide improved wear resistance and coefficients of friction (abstract).

Art Unit: 3676

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero,
Hintenlang and Guth as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Johnston et al (US. 6,428,013).

Romero, Hintenlang and Guth disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the static sealing element includes a thermoplastic. Johnston discloses a lip seal having a static sealing element made of elastomer or thermoplastic (column 3, lines 59-65) and a dynamic sealing lip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the static sealing element of Romero, Hintenlang and Guth to be made of thermoplastic as taught by Johnston, since having a static sealing element made of elastomer or thermoplastic is considered to be art equivalent.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3676

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-8495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 PM to 4:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann, can be reached on (703) 306-4115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Technology Center 3600 Customer Service is available at 703-308-1113. General Customer Service numbers are at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-9000. Fax Customer Service is available at 703-872-9325.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

703-872-9326, for formal communications for entry before Final action: or, or faxed to: 703-872-9327, for formal communications for entry after Final action.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Seventh Floor (Receptionist suite adjacent to the elevator lobby).

VP September 21, 2004

> ALISON PICKARD Primary Patent Examiner Tech. Center 3600